1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 AARON LEE METZ, Plaintiff, CASE NO. 3:20-cv-06242-RSM-BAT 9 ORDER DENYING MOTION TO v. 10 APPOINT COUNSEL C. SABO, 11 Defendant. 12 13 Plaintiff filed a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and moves for appointment of 14 counsel. Dkts 9 and 16. There is generally no right to counsel in a civil action. See Campbell v. 15 Burt, 141 F.3d 927, 931 (9th Cir. 1998). The Court may appoint counsel for civil litigants under 16 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1), but only under "exceptional circumstances." Agyeman v. Corrections 17 Corp. of Am., 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004). The Court considers the likelihood of success 18 on the merits and the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the 19 complexity of the legal issues involved in assessing whether exceptional circumstances exist. 20 Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983). 21 Plaintiff requests the Court appoint counsel because he "called four to five attorneys with 22 no reply." Dkt. 16 at 2. That no lawyer has responded to his calls does not constitute 23 "exceptional circumstances." Plaintiff has also filed several motions and his complaint does not ORDER DENYING MOTION TO APPOINT

COUNSEL - 1

set forth a complicated action. The Court therefore ORDERS: (1) Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel, Dkt. 16, is **DENIED** without prejudice; and (2) the clerk shall provide a copy of the order to the parties. DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021. BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA Chief United States Magistrate Judge